Spatial-Social Network Visualization for Exploratory Data Analysis
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ABSTRACT
There has been considerable interest in applying social network analysis methods to geographically embedded networks such as population migration and international trade. However, research is hampered by a lack of support for exploratory spatial-social network analysis in integrated tools. To bridge the gap, this research introduces a spatial-social network visualization tool, the GeoSocialApp, that supports the exploration of spatial-social networks among network, geographical, and attribute spaces. It also supports exploration of network attributes from community-level (clustering) to individual-level (network node measures). Using an international trade case study, this research shows that mixed methods — computational and visual — can enable discovery of complex patterns in large spatial-social network datasets in an effective and efficient way.

Categories and Subject Descriptors
H.5.2 [User Interfaces]: Graphical user interfaces (GUI)

General Terms
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1. INTRODUCTION
Social network analysis is used to understand how relationships among actors (i.e., individuals, groups, or other social collectives) within a network affect the behaviors or attributes of themselves and other actors [18]. A growing number of researchers realize the potential application of this analysis method to geographically embedded networks and flows such as population migration [17], and international trade driven by globalization [11]. This increasing realization has called for development of integrated spatial-social tools to support spatially intuitive thinking in traditional social sciences [7].

Many network statistical algorithms exist to detect important individuals, relationships, and clusters, but it is difficult to understand their strictly quantitative outputs. Integrating network statistics with visualization methods has been effective in enabling users to make sense of data [16]. Thus, integrating visualization into spatial-social analysis systems can facilitate sensemaking and discovery of features such as distributions, patterns, and trends over social and spatial space.

The integration of computational methods into effective, interactive visualization in the context of geo-spatial environment gives birth to a new field: geovisual analytics. This new field aims to enable insights on large, complex data containing a geospatial component [1]. This paper introduces a novel geovisual analytics tool, the GeoSocialApp, designed to discover previously hidden patterns in complex datasets and to facilitate insight gain. The tool consists of three major components, each of which performs a specific task and can coordinate with other components to facilitate the insight gaining process. These major components include (a) network space, (b) geographic space, and (c) attribute space.

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 reviews related literature. Section 3 presents an overview of the methods implemented. Section 4 presents the case study application of the tool. Section 5 discusses the limitations of the case study and tool and outlines the future work.

2. RELATED WORK
Current spatial-social network visualization tools can be classified into two major groups: the first group focuses on the spatializing network structures; the second group focuses on the combination of spatial analysis and social network analysis.

The first group considers spatial-social network visualization from a spatial perspective. For example, Guo [8] proposes an integrated interactive visualization framework, in order to effectively discover and visualize major flow patterns and multivariate relations from county-to-county migration data in the U.S. Wood et al. [19] propose an origins and destinations (OD) map to preserve all origin and destination locations of the spatial layout. Overall, this group develops new visualization and interaction techniques to synthesize, visualize, and discover patterns from very large spatial-social networks, but it lacks...
network analysis methods, which have the potential to gain deeper insight for users.

The second group of methods combines geospatial and network analysis with visualization (e.g., Sentinel Visualizer). Davis et al [5] introduce an integrated tool, connecting the Organizational Risk Analysis tool with Geospatial Information (Ora-GI) that allows the integration of geospatial data into the analysis of relational information. Both tools handle location-based spatial network relationships overlaid on a map without supporting network relationships among geographical units (e.g., countries and states). In addition, those tools focus on mathematical and statistical analysis, rather than visual data exploration.

Overall, the above work illustrates a trend to develop new visualization techniques to integrate social networks into a spatial framework, but current work has two major gaps: spatial-social visualization tools do not integrate network analysis methods to enable users to gain deep insight and no existing spatial-social visualization tool deals with network relationships among geographical units. This research aims to fill these gaps.

3. METHODS
GeoSocialApp incorporates network analysis and visualization in a geospatial framework. It is implemented using the GeoViz Toolkit (GVT), a component-based environment for developing multi-view, coordinated geovisualization applications [9].

The network component is based on the Java Universal Network/Graph (JUNG) Framework. JUNG is a JAVA API that provides a framework for modeling, analysis and visualization of relational data [15]. With JUNG, we implement two network views in GVT to facilitate visual exploration of patterns in social space and spatial space simultaneously, a Node-Link and a Dendrogram view (Figure 1). Below, we introduce the views, plus several other GVT components used in GeoSocialApp.

3.1 Node-Link View
The node-link view has two parts: the layout and individual-level network measures. The layout part supports the following layouts: KKL, FRL, Spring, Circle and Self-Organizing Map (SOM). Each layout is suitable for different network structures. The KKL layout implements the Kamada-Kawai algorithm [13], and the FRL implements the Fruchterman-Reingold force-directed algorithm [6]. The Spring layout models the edge as a spring and uses Hooke’s law to determine the position of nodes to gain deep insight and no existing spatial-social visualization tool deals with network relationships among geographical units. This research aims to fill these gaps.

• Betweenness: the extent to which a particular node lies between other nodes.
• Clustering coefficient: a measure of degree to which nodes in a graph tend to cluster together.

3.2 Dendrogram View
The dendrogram view implements the convergence of the iterated correlations (CONCOR) algorithm [3] to group actors with similar position in a single network or multiple social networks together. Actors in similar network positions are considered to have similar social influence in the relational network. The relation of each node with other nodes is represented as binary values (1=connected and 0=disconnected). Thus a node is associated with a binary string, which is treated as the sample data of that node. Based on these “sample data”, CONCOR computes the Pearson product-moment correlation coefficients for every node pair; higher coefficients indicate a more similar position. Through iterative computation, these values will converge on either 1 or -1; the former indicates a strong similarity between positions of two nodes while the latter suggests a high difference. Nodes with a coefficient of 1 are classified into the same group, indicating their highly similar positions in the social network. Hierarchical structure can be achieved by running CONCOR on each subgroup.

The dendrogram view provides two layout methods to visualize the hierarchical structure of CONCOR results: a tree layout and a balloon layout (Figure 1). The tree layout positions child nodes under their common ancestors and organizes the graph in a hierarchical way. Also, an equivalent balloon view can be obtained from the tree by placing each node’s children in its enclosing circle [4]. These two views are good at displaying hierarchical structure of the graph, and are especially adaptive for displaying clustering results as in our tool. There is a slider bar in the view to control the level of the dendrogram result.

3.3 Components in GVT
Existing components in GVT used in this study include the choropleth map view, the histogram view, and the star plot view. The choropleth map view is used here for visual exploration in geographical space. The histogram depicts the distribution of univariate data. The star plot view is used to represent multiple variables (Figure 2). The number of variables corresponds to the number of rays emanating from the center of the star plot, and the length of each ray is proportional to the value it represents. For this research, basic network node measures calculated in the node-link view are imported into the histogram and star plot views, in order to explore the distribution of individual measures and the relationship among multiple measures.
3.4 Network-GVT View Coordination
The GeoSocialApp allows users to explore spatial-social networks in multiple spaces by supporting coordination among network views and GVT views, with each indicating different perspectives on the same datasets. The dendrogram view and nodelink view allow users to explore networks from the community-level (clusters) to the individual-level (node measures). The choropleth map view gives users an impression about the geographical positions. In addition, each node in the network views represents a geographical unit (i.e., states, countries) in the choropleth map; thus, the linked network views and geographical views allow the explicit interaction between geographical space and social space. Other components in GVT such as the histogram and the star plot allow users to explore the distribution of individual measures and the relationships among multiple measures. Therefore, coordination among network views and other GVT views allows users to explore spatial-social network data in network space, geographical space and attribute space, facilitating the insight gaining process.

4. WORLD TRADE NETWORK ANALYSIS: A CASE STUDY
We apply GeoSocialApp to world trade data analysis to demonstrate the potential of the methods to support economic research and policy-making. When using a network approach to study international trade, each country is typically considered to be a node of the network. International trade is usually measured by the monetary value of exports and imports between countries, so trading relationships are analogous to links in a network of country nodes. The focus here is on network structure, thus relationships, rather than the monetary values.

4.1 Data Preprocessing
We use the import and export data in current U.S. dollars among 192 countries in 2005 as a case study test of this tool. These data were extracted from the CorrelatesOfWar (COW) Database [2]. Countries are the nodes of the network and a link between two countries represents a trading relationship. We organize the data in matrix form with columns as exporting countries and rows as importing countries. As an illustration, Table 1 is the binary matrix for the first 10 countries in our data; “1” represents trade between countries, “0” represents no trade.

Table 1. Partial Binary Matrix for 0% Threshold in 2005

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Australia</th>
<th>Brazil</th>
<th>Canada</th>
<th>China</th>
<th>France</th>
<th>Germany</th>
<th>India</th>
<th>Indonesia</th>
<th>Japan</th>
<th>Mexico</th>
<th>Russia</th>
<th>Saudi Arabia</th>
<th>South Korea</th>
<th>Spain</th>
<th>Sweden</th>
<th>Switzerland</th>
<th>Turkey</th>
<th>United Kingdom</th>
<th>United States</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russia</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saudi Arabia</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Korea</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Second, we are interested in the relationship between basic network node measures for countries and their positions in the world trade network. From the histogram view in Figure 3, we see that periphery countries (highlighted in blue) have a high cluster coefficient. This indicates that periphery countries tend to have international trade among each other, whereas a low coefficient indicates that more developed countries tend to have more trade partners than less developed countries. A few periphery country outliers do not follow the distribution of most other periphery countries. We continue to explore the underlying reason behind those outliers. In Figure 4, we highlight those outliers that have only one trade partner (one export link and one import link). One trade partner results in cluster coefficient of zero. Therefore, those countries are outliers that do not violate the result we find in Figure 3. The result is also supported by the star plot view (Figure 5). In this view, we represent six variables: imports, exports, in-degree, out-degree, betweenness, and clustering coefficient. All 192 countries are ranked from highest to lowest according to the first variable: import. It is obvious that most countries with a high clustering coefficient (long ray from the center of the stars) have a low value with other five variables. The negative relationship implies that rich countries may benefit more from more diversified trade partners and small economies may benefit more from concentrated trade partners. The result is also supported by Kali et al. [11]: the number of trading partners and the concentration of trade are both positively correlated with growth across all countries, but the former is greater for rich countries and the latter is concentrated in poor countries.

Results found using the spatial-social network visualization tool correspond to previous research [11-12]. Most past research has used traditional network measures and computational models,
but their results are not easy for non-experts to understand. Our tool can give direct visual representation of patterns to a broader audience, facilitating insight development.
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5. CONCLUSIONS

We introduce the GeoSocialApp that supports exploration of spatial-social networks among multiple spaces: geographical, network, and attribute space. It also supports the exploration of network attributes from community-level (positional clustering) to individual-level (network node measures). The brief case study presented illustrates that this tool can facilitate an insight gaining process about spatial-social networks underlying international trade. GeoSocialApp has been designed as general ones suited to any data aggregated to enumeration units. Thus the system is applicable not just to country-level data as shown here but can be used with data for individuals (e.g., data about social or other connections between individuals aggregated to census blocks in a city). Two possible extensions of this work in terms of application of the tools to trade analysis can be explored in the future: (a) increasing threshold values from zero monetary units enables us to understand the sensitivity of various topological characteristics of the network to differing trade magnitudes; (b) exploring multiple years of data can enable us to understand international trade over time.
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